

Student Name:

Email this to Ellen Musinsky

EXTERNSHIP LOG
Fall SEMESTER 2XXX YEAR

Please complete a log form and e-mail it each week. For each day you should have several notations. If during a day, you are working on one project, try to write down the different discrete tasks that you are performing. Your observations should be about what you are learning, what skills you are developing, or need to develop. Comments on the legal system generally or about the type of law you are working on are appropriate. If there is something that you want to talk about please note it. Comments about supervision are welcome. Both comments about how it is done and what you learned from it are appropriate. No information of a confidential nature should be contained in this document.

DATE

SUMMARY OF RESPONSIBILITIES	time	OBSERVATIONS	Supervising Attorney week 9 Day & date
			3/4/XX
checked email	8:00 - 8:30		
Wrote response memo to a motion for summary judgment on estoppel issues, noir-pennington doctrine, and design patent as defense to TM infringement	8:30 - 12:00	In my opinion council stretched the application of some laws. They did it creatively. In essence they presented an issue that was a legally stretched assumption and application and were trying to get the other side and the staff attorney to answer the wrong issue. It almost worked. Is this overzealous lawyering? Or creative lawyering? I saw it as creative lawyering because it is part of the game. Some however may see it as an ethics violation. What would happen if the attorney didn't catch this stretch of the law??	
same as the morning	1:00 - 4:30		

total **8.5** 3/5/XX

checked email 8:00-8:30

finished the PHI paper and gave it to supervisor 8:30-10:00

finding case law that analyzed the delay in filing a case and the relationship to a stay of the judgment 10:00-12:00 some attorneys come to you and ask, "find me a case that says X." You always tell them that you will do your best, but when a case is not out there, sometimes i feel like you just have to argue with what you got! Rett Snotherly

Looked for case law that says the size of a company is not a defense to harm, it's the balance of the harm...when granting a stay 1:00-4:30 Rett Snotherly

total **8.5** 3/6/XX

checked email 8:00-8:30

drafted interrogatories 8:30-12:30 ITC has an advantage that they get to look at one side's interrogatories already presented Jay Reiziss

Reviewed and read depositions transcripts to analyze respondents findings of fact 1:00-4:30 Anne Goalwin

total **8.5** 3/7/XX

checked email 8:00-8:30

Reviewed depositions 8:30-12:30 this deposition was for two day consisting of over 300 pages. It took awhile to read and understand. The use of pronouns makes the deposition so difficult to follow. Anne Goalwin

Reviewed depositions 1:00-4:30

total **8.5** 3/8/XX

checked email 8:00-8:30

Reviewed Depositions and commented on Respondents 8:30-12:30 Witness answers, I don't know to a question. The attorney

findings, why I thought they were more than facts, some were conclusions

rephrase or begins to ask superficially about the subject matter to which the witness answered "i don't know." Now the witness begins to testify about details of the subject matter that he didn't know about?!! I think there should be more scrutiny during depositions and witness conduct. If they don't know, the questions should stop, then **all testimony about that subject should not be allowed in court by that witness!!!**

Drafted Staff findings and log sheet	1 : 0 0 - 4 : 3 0
total	8 . 5
week total	4 2 . 5 0